

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/553,984	SATO, HIDEO	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	John B. King	2435	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) John B. King.

(2) Jonathan Parthum (Reg. No. 64082).

**Date of Interview:** 21 October 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

103(a) and 112, second paragraph

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*A potential examiner's amendment was discussed to put the case in better condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** In condition for Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

/John B King/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2435

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)